

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

<hr/>		)
ROGER A. SEVIGNY, in his official	)	)
capacity as INSURANCE	)	)
COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF	)	)
NEW HAMPSHIRE, as LIQUIDATOR	)	)
OF THE HOME INSURANCE	)	)
COMPANY,	)	)
	)	)
New Hampshire Insurance Department	)	)
21 South Fruit Street	)	)
Concord, NH 03301	)	)
	)	)
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No.:
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and	)	
ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., in his official	)	
capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL	)	
OF THE UNITED STATES,	)	
	)	
United States Department of Justice	)	
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	)	
Washington, DC 20530-0001	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
<hr/>		)

**COMPLAINT**

1. This is an action by plaintiff Roger A. Sevigny, Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, in his capacity as Liquidator (the “Liquidator”) of The Home Insurance Company (“Home”), seeking a declaration that his making a court-approved interim distribution of assets from the estate of Home to creditors in accordance with the New Hampshire Insurers Rehabilitation and Liquidation Act, N.H. RSA 402-C, does not violate federal law and expose the Liquidator to potential personal liability under 31 U.S.C. § 3713 (the “Priority Statute”). There exists an actual controversy over this claim because (1) the Liquidator has received

approval from the state court supervising the liquidation of Home to pay an interim distribution of 15% on allowed Priority Class II claims from the assets of the estate; (2) the United States has maintained that under the Priority Statute a representative such as the Liquidator may be personally liable for the payment of unpaid federal claims if he distributes assets on other claims; (3) the Liquidator has addressed or reserved assets in the full amount of the claims of the United States identified in proofs of claim filed by the United States or otherwise known to the Liquidator; (4) the Liquidator has conservatively reserved assets to permit an equivalent distribution on undetermined and unknown claims, including unknown claims of the United States, in determining to make the 15% interim distribution; (5) for over sixteen months, the United States Department of Justice has not acted on the Liquidator's request for a waiver of claims under the Priority Statute as to that interim distribution so as to permit the distribution to be made. Without resolution of the issues presented by the position of the United States, the Liquidator is unable – over ten years after Home was ordered liquidated – to distribute assets to policyholders and others holding policy-level priority claims even though all known federal claims have been provided for and a conservative reserve for unknown claims established.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

2. This Court has original jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because it arises under 31 U.S.C. § 3713. The United States has waived its immunity from this suit by 5 U.S.C. § 702, in that this is an action for non-monetary specific relief concerning a federal agency's action or failure to act which has substantially impaired the Liquidator's ability to discharge his obligations under New Hampshire law. Even if the United States had not waived its immunity from suit by 5 U.S.C. § 702, this suit is not barred by sovereign immunity because it is one against a federal official claiming that he (the Attorney General) has acted beyond his

statutory authority. See *Larson v. Domestic and Foreign Commerce Corp.*, 337 U.S. 682, 689-90 (1949).

3. Declaratory relief is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 because – as more fully set forth below – there is an actual controversy between the parties concerning the interpretation and application of federal law.

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because a substantial part of the property that is the subject of this action (the Home estate) is situated in this district and the plaintiff resides in this district.

### **Parties**

5. On March 4, 2003, Paula T. Rogers, then Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, filed a proceeding entitled *In the Matter of the Rehabilitation of The Home Insurance Company*, Superior Court for Merrimack County, New Hampshire Docket No. 03-E-0106. The Superior Court for Merrimack County, New Hampshire (the “Supervising Court”) entered an Order of Rehabilitation on March 5, 2003. On June 13, 2003, the Supervising Court entered an Order of Liquidation (the “Order of Liquidation”). The Order of Liquidation declared that The Home Insurance Company, an insurance company organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Hampshire, was insolvent and appointed the then Insurance Commissioner and her successors in office as its Liquidator. The proceeding before the Supervising Court is now accordingly *In the Matter of the Liquidation of The Home Insurance Company*, Docket No. 03-E-0106 (the “Liquidation Proceeding”).

6. Plaintiff Roger A. Sevigny is the present Insurance Commissioner for the State of New Hampshire, with his principal place of business at the New Hampshire Insurance Department, 21 South Fruit Street, Concord, New Hampshire. In that capacity, he is the present Liquidator of Home.

7. The Home Insurance Company, in Liquidation, has an office at 55 South Commercial Street, Manchester, New Hampshire.

8. Defendants are the United States of America and Eric H. Holder, Jr., in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States of America, appointed under 28 U.S.C. § 503.

### **The New Hampshire Insurer Liquidation Proceeding**

9. The Liquidator has exclusive authority under the Order of Liquidation to recover all assets of Home and, subject to the oversight of the Supervising Court, to take such further actions as he deems necessary or expedient to further the purposes of the Order of Liquidation. N.H. RSA 402-C:21, :25. As New Hampshire is Home's domiciliary state, the Liquidator is Home's domiciliary liquidator and the New Hampshire liquidation is the proper forum for all claims against Home. See N.H. RSA 402-C:57.

10. Home and its subsidiaries (most of which were merged into Home in 1995) wrote property and casualty lines of insurance for both commercial and personal lines policyholders, as well as reinsurance. Home and its subsidiaries wrote insurance and reinsurance in all states and some territories of the United States, as well as in Canada, the United Kingdom, Bermuda and Hong Kong. Home and its subsidiaries generally stopped writing personal lines business in the early 1990s, and they stopped writing all business in 1995 (subject to certain personal lines mandatory renewal requirements for 1996).

11. New Hampshire law requires the Supervising Court to fix a deadline for the filing of claims. N.H. RSA 402-C:37. The Order of Liquidation established a deadline of June 13, 2004 for the filing of claims with the Liquidator. As described below, the United States submitted seven proofs of claim before and after that date, most recently in 2005.

12. As a result of the entry of the Order of Liquidation, many claims under Home's policies of insurance were transferred to the various insurance guaranty associations established by state law. *E.g.*, N.H. RSA 404-B. New Hampshire law requires a liquidator to apply for approval of a plan to distribute assets to insurance guaranty associations. N.H. RSA 402-C:29, III. On October 22, 2003, the Supervising Court entered an Order Approving Early Access Distribution Plan. The early access distributions are subject to "claw back" agreements required by N.H. RSA 402-C:29, III, under which the guaranty associations will return early access distributions if necessary to pay claims of claimants with claims in the same or higher priority. Pursuant to the early access distribution plan and subsequent orders, nine distributions totaling approximately \$238 million have been made to eligible insurance guaranty associations in partial reimbursement of their claims against the Home estate. Certain states have withdrawn deposits made by Home totaling approximately \$52 million, and the Liquidator is setting off deposit amounts against claims of guaranty associations in those states.

13. The Supervising Court's orders approving the first six early access distributions provided that the distributions are subject to receipt of a waiver of federal priority claims under the Priority Statute from the United States in a form acceptable to the Liquidator. On request by the Liquidator, the United States provided limited releases of claims under the Priority Statute with respect to the first six early access distributions. The United States was not willing to provide waivers for the later early access distributions. In light of the statutory claw back agreement with the guaranty associations, the Liquidator sought approval to make the seventh through ninth early access distributions without a waiver from the United States.

14. New Hampshire law specifies the order in which the assets of an insolvent insurer are to be distributed. N.H. RSA 402-C:44. The statute divides the insurer's creditors into ten

successive priority classes, each of which will receive a distribution only after all creditors in prior classes have been paid in full or adequate funds retained for payment. The first five classes are: (I) administration costs; (II) claims under insurance policies, including claims of insurance guaranty associations; (III) claims of the federal government other than those in higher priority classes; (IV) wages; and (V) residual claims, including claims of state or local governments. N.H. RSA 402-C:44, I-V.

### **The 15% Interim Distribution**

15. New Hampshire law provides for interim distributions to creditors. N.H. RSA 402-C:46. Under the direction of the Supervising Court, the Liquidator is to pay dividends in a manner that will assure the proper recognition of priorities and a reasonable balance between the expeditious completion of the liquidation and the protection of unliquidated and undetermined claims. N.H. RSA 402-C:46, I.

16. On February 11, 2012, the Liquidator filed a Motion for Approval of Interim Distribution to Claimants with Allowed Class II Claims with the Supervising Court. The motion requested approval of an interim distribution of 15% on Class II claims allowed by the Supervising Court based on the presently available assets, the projected Class I expenses of liquidation, and the unpaid Class II liabilities as estimated by the Liquidator's independent actuarial consultant. A copy of the motion is attached as Exhibit A.

17. As set forth in the motion, the available Home assets as of December 31, 2011 totaled \$1.382 billion, consisting of \$1.115 billion of unrestricted liquid assets held by the Liquidator, \$215 million in early access distributions previously paid to guaranty associations subject to statutory claw back, and \$52 million in deposits withdrawn by the states. These amounts are available to the Liquidator for potential distribution to claimants or to be applied by the Liquidator against claims. The Liquidator did not consider it reasonable and prudent at that

time to base a distribution on future reinsurance collections or future investment income, and he did not consider such future assets in connection with the interim distribution.

18. As set forth in the motion, the Liquidator estimated that Class I costs, including the expenses of the Home liquidation and the guaranty associations' claim overhead expenses, will total approximately \$324 million over the remaining life of the Home estate.

19. As set forth in the motion, to comply with New Hampshire law and assure equal treatment for all Class II claimants, any distribution must provide for all Class II obligations of Home even though they have not yet been determined. To estimate these liabilities, the Liquidator engaged the internationally-known actuarial consulting firm Milliman, Inc. ("Milliman"), to estimate Home's unpaid policy-related obligations. Milliman provided the Liquidator with its "actuarial Central Estimate" of Home's unpaid Class II liabilities, which was \$4.112 billion. It also provided a confidence level table that estimated Home's unpaid Class II liabilities at higher confidence levels. This reflected the possibility that Home's unpaid Class II liabilities may exceed the actuarial Central Estimate. The Liquidator determined to use the estimate of Home's Class II liabilities at the 95% confidence level for purposes of the interim distribution as this encompasses a reasonable and prudent percentage of potential outcomes. At the 95% confidence level, the estimate of Home's unpaid Class II liabilities was \$6.584 billion.

20. As set forth in the motion, the Liquidator determined to seek approval of an interim distribution of 15%. This percentage reflected the available assets (minus projected expenses) compared with the conservatively estimated ultimate Class II liabilities of Home. The available assets (\$1.382 billion) less the projected Class I expenses of the liquidation (\$324 million) all divided by the estimated Class II liabilities at the 95% confidence level

(\$6.584 billion) produced an initial distribution percentage of 16.07%, which the Liquidator rounded down to 15%.

21. As set forth in the motion, the interim distribution would leave substantial assets available for later distribution. The allowed Class II claims as of December 31, 2011 totaled approximately \$1.294 billion, so the 15% interim distribution on those claims will result in a distribution of approximately \$194.1 million. Only \$152.7 million of this will be paid out in cash to the holders of the \$1.018 billion of allowed non-guaranty association Class II claims. The guaranty associations have already received early access distributions in excess of 15%, so the \$41.4 million part of the early access distributions representing the interim distribution amount will instead no longer be deemed an early access distribution subject to claw back. This left approximately \$962 million in available assets as of December 31, 2011.

22. The 15% interim distribution will also be made to those Class II claimants whose claims are subsequently allowed. Such claims have been accounted for through the actuarial estimates of Home's ultimate Class II liabilities used in determining the distribution percentage. As is the case in the financial statements of solvent insurance companies, the actuarial estimates include estimates of liability for known claims and also for claims that are not presently known (the "incurred but not reported" or "IBNR" claims). The Liquidator's approach reserves sufficient assets for a 15% distribution to undetermined claims – including undetermined United States claims – even if the ultimate value of all Class II claims exceeds the actuarial Central Estimate of \$4.112 billion and reaches the 95% confidence level value of \$6.584 billion. The approach is reasonable and prudent not only in using the 95% confidence level for ultimate Class II liabilities but also in excluding from consideration any future assets the Liquidator will

receive, including reinsurance collections on allowed claims and investment income on assets held by the Liquidator.

23. The Supervising Court entered an order approving the proposed interim distribution on March 13, 2012, and amended it on July 2, 2012. In light of the position of the United States regarding the Priority Statute, the order provides that the interim distribution is subject to receipt of a waiver of federal priority claims under the Priority Statute from the United States in a form acceptable to the Liquidator.

24. On April 12, 2012, the Liquidator requested a waiver of federal priority claims from the United States Department of Justice to permit the interim distribution to be made. A copy of the Liquidator's request is attached as Exhibit B. In response to a request for information by the Department of Justice on July 3, 2012, the Liquidator provided additional information on July 12, 2012. In response to a request for further information by the Department of Justice on March 15, 2013, the Liquidator provided additional information on March 28, 2013. Despite numerous follow-ups during 2012 and 2013, the United States has not acted on the Liquidator's request.

#### **The United States' Proofs of Claim**

25. The United States has filed seven proofs of claim with the Liquidator. Six of those proofs of claim asserted identified known claims, and those claims have been addressed or provided for as set forth in paragraphs 26 through 31 below. In addition to the six proofs of claim asserting known claims, the United States also filed a "protective" proof of claim concerning unknown claims as set forth in paragraph 32.

26. On or about June 26, 2004, the United States Department of Labor filed proof of claim GOVT 700090-01 seeking Special Fund assessments under the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act. The Department of Labor supplemented this proof of claim on or

about April 8, 2005, and February 2, 2005, ultimately seeking a total of \$2,672,527 from Home. The Liquidator allowed the claim in full and assigned it to Class III priority. The Department of Labor unsuccessfully asserted rights to absolute priority under federal law in this Court, see Solis v. Home Ins. Co., 848 F.Supp.2d 91 (D.N.H. 2012), and is presently contending before the Supervising Court that the claim is in part entitled to Class I priority and otherwise to Class II priority under state law. The Liquidator has set aside an amount equal to the full value of the claim to address it if necessary.

27. On or about June 11, 2004, the United States filed proof of claim GOVT 709578 (originally numbered CLMN 705062), on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) concerning a claim by the EPA against Home’s insureds Lillian Wiesner, Executeam Corp., and John Massei. The EPA and Home’s insureds settled their claims in a consent judgment entered September 26, 2012. Under the terms of that judgment, the New York Liquidation Bureau is to pay \$606,000 to the EPA under the insurance policies issued by Home, the insureds released their claims under the Home policies, and the insureds are also to make a payment to the EPA of their own. The United States will accordingly receive a payment under the Home policies from the New York Liquidation Bureau. The EPA has advised that it will withdraw its claim in the Home liquidation following receipt of payment from the New York Liquidation Bureau.

28. On or about June 11, 2004, the United States filed proof of claim GOVT 709580 (originally numbered CLMN 705064) on behalf of the EPA concerning a claim by the EPA against Paul Sauget (“Sauget”), an owner and officer of Home’s insured, Sauget & Company. On March 31, 2010, the United States, Sauget’s estate, the Liquidator, and others entered into a settlement agreement pursuant to which the proof of claim GOVT 709580 was allowed as a

Class II claim in the amount of \$4,125,000. The settlement agreement was approved by the Supervising Court on June 3, 2010. The United States will accordingly share in distributions to Class II claimants, including the interim distribution, on the allowed \$4,125,000 amount.

29. On or about June 11, 2004, the United States filed proof of claim GOVT 709581 on behalf of the EPA concerning a claim by the EPA against Dominick Manzo, Carmella Manzo, and Ace-Manzo, Inc. (the “Manzos”) regarding clean up at the “Manzo Superfund Site”. Home had issued an excess liability policy to Ace-Manzo, Inc., listing Dominick and Carmella Manzo as named insureds. In March of 2011, the United States entered into a consent decree with the Manzos that resolved the claims of all parties regarding the Manzo Superfund Site. The Home policy was in effect for a single year and provided limits of \$3,000,000 above a \$2,500,000 layer of primary coverage written by another insurer. The coverage underlying Home’s policy was not exhausted by payments under the 2011 consent decree so Home’s policy has not been triggered. The Liquidator accordingly issued a notice of determination denying the United States’ claim on June 28, 2013.

30. On or about June 11, 2004, the United States filed proof of claim GOVT 709582 on behalf of the EPA concerning claims by the EPA, US Department of the Interior, US Department of the Navy, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, arising out of a secondary refiner-smelter operated by Home’s insured R. Lavin & Sons, Inc. (“Lavin”). On September 30, 2008, Lavin and the Liquidator entered a settlement of all claims arising out of the Home policies issued to Lavin pursuant to which Lavin’s claim was allowed as a Class II claim in the amount of \$2,346,774. The Supervising Court approved the settlement with Lavin on February 23, 2009. The settlement recognized that, pursuant to orders in Lavin’s bankruptcy case, the United States will receive part of the amounts paid on Lavin’s claim. Accordingly, one

condition to effectiveness of the settlement was withdrawal by the United States of proof of claim GOVT 709582. The United States withdrew the proof of claim on December 5, 2008.

31. On or about November 15, 2005, the United States filed proof of claim CLMN 710659 on behalf of the EPA concerning a claim by the EPA against Home's insured Azusa Pipe and Tube Bending Corp. ("Azusa"). Home issued a single excess policy to Azusa that was in effect from January 2, 1975 through March 23, 1977, with limits of \$1,000,000 above a \$500,000 layer of primary coverage written by another insurer. The Home policy contains a pollution exclusion, and in light of that exclusion the Liquidator concluded that any Home exposure is unlikely. Azusa's own proof of claim was denied on January 30, 2012. Counsel for the EPA advised on January 9, 2012 that its claim against Home is contingent since the interim remediation, expected to be completed in 2017, is currently being funded by other potentially responsible parties. The Liquidator agreed to defer determination of the EPA's proof of claim pending further developments. There is no present claim.

32. On or about June 11, 2004, the United States filed proof of claim GOVT 709579 (originally numbered CLMN 705065) on behalf of the EPA, the US Department of the Interior, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the Department of Commerce, the Department of Defense, and "any other agencies that may have claims." This "protective" proof of claim states that:

The United States of America, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Department of the Interior, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the Department of Commerce, the Department of Defense, and any other agencies that may have claims, files this protective Proof of Claim as it relates to any claims held by these agencies that are not currently known or are not currently known to relate to the Home Insurance Company. If or when the United States learns of actual claims held by these agencies, the United States will file an Amended Proof of Claim relating to the specific actual claim. The United States reserves the right to supplement this Proof of Claim.

The proof of claim asserts rights under the Priority Statute. It states that “[t]he Federal Priority Act, 31 U.S.C. §3712 [sic], provides the United States with certain rights of priority that may be applicable.” The United States has thus notified the Liquidator that it contends the Priority Statute protects it with respect to unknown claims. It has not supplemented this proof of claim since filing the Azusa proof of claim in 2005.

#### **Other Matters**

33. The Liquidator is aware of three circumstances that give rise to possible United States claims against Home in addition to those described in paragraphs 26 through 31 above. These matters have been addressed as set forth in paragraphs 34 through 36 below.

34. During a July 3, 2012, conversation, representatives of the Department of Justice noted that the United States might have a claim concerning Home’s insured Thoro Products Co. (“Thoro”) arising out of pollution at certain sites in Colorado. Neither Thoro nor the United States has filed a proof of claim with respect to this matter, but the Liquidator has reviewed it. Home issued a single general liability policy to Thoro with limits of \$1,000,000. Because that policy contained an absolute pollution exclusion, the Liquidator believes that Home has no exposure.

35. Home issued a number of insurance policies to the predecessors in interest of LTV Corporation (“LTV”). LTV and forty-eight affiliates filed petitions for relief under Chapter 11 in 2000 which were consolidated in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Ohio (Case No. 00-43866). In 2002, the bankruptcy court approved the sale of certain of LTV’s assets to ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (referred to as ISG) and, in connection with that sale, ISG acquired an interest in proceeds of certain LTV insurance policies including certain policies issued by Home. Once Home was ordered liquidated, LTV and ISG filed proofs

of claim concerning certain environmental claims. The Liquidator, LTV, and ISG entered a settlement agreement on May 25, 2012, that provided for an \$8,000,000 allowance at Class II priority. The agreement was approved by the Supervising Court on June 29, 2012. The United States did not file a proof of claim with respect to LTV. However, the agreement also required bankruptcy court approval of the agreement and of a related stipulation under which the United States will receive a percentage of the distributions from Home and covenants not to sue the Liquidator and Home for matters arising out of the Home policies. The agreement and the stipulation were approved by the bankruptcy court on July 3, 2012.

36. Home issued policies to Federal Pacific Electric Company under which Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, Inc. (“CDE”) was a named insured. CDE filed proofs of claim concerning certain environmental claims. The Liquidator and CDE entered a settlement agreement on February 20, 2013 that provided for a \$15,000,000 allowance at Class II priority. The agreement was approved by the Supervising Court on May 15, 2013. The United States has not filed a proof of claim with respect to CDE. However, CDE has entered a consent decree with respect to the claims against it, including claims of the United States, filed August 28, 2012 in *United States of America and the State of New Jersey v. Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-050407-JLL-MAH (D. N.J.). That consent decree resolves the underlying claims by the United States against CDE and provides for percentages of insurance proceeds received by CDE, including distributions from Home, to be paid to the United States.

#### **The Position of the United States**

37. The Priority Statute provides a priority for federal claims by requiring that a claim of the United States “shall be paid first” by an insolvent debtor in liquidation proceedings. 31 U.S.C. § 3713(a)(1). The Priority Statute also provides for potential personal liability of

representatives of estates that pay a non-federal debt before an unpaid federal claim. 31 U.S.C. § 3713(b).

38. The McCarran-Ferguson Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1012, limits the application of the Priority Statute in state insurer liquidation proceedings by protecting state insurer liquidation priority statutes from preemption by the Priority Statute to the extent they serve to protect policyholders. *United States Dep't. of the Treasury v. Fabe*, 508 U.S. 491, 493, 508-09 (1993); *Ruthardt v. United States*, 303 F.3d 375, 381-84 (1st Cir. 2002), *cert. denied*, 538 U.S. 1031 (2003). The policy-level claims afforded priority under N.H. RSA 402-C:44, II accordingly have priority over non-policy claims of the United States assigned lower priority by RSA 402-C:44, III notwithstanding the Priority Statute.

39. In this circuit, state insurer liquidation claim filing deadlines do not apply to claims by the federal government. See *Ruthardt v. United States*, 303 F.3d 375, 384-86 (1st Cir. 2002), *cert. denied*, 538 U.S. 1031 (2003); *Garcia v. Island Program Designer, Inc.*, 4 F.3d 57, 62 (1st Cir. 1993). Accordingly, the United States may file claims regardless of time limitations established pursuant to N.H. RSA 402-C:26 and :37.

40. The United States, acting by and through the Department of Justice and defendant Attorney General Holder, has taken the position that the Liquidator faces potential personal liability under the Priority Statute in the event presently unknown federal policy-level claims are subsequently asserted and cannot be paid because assets were previously distributed to other creditors.

41. The United States, acting by and through the Department of Justice and defendant Attorney General Holder, has taken the position that the Liquidator faces personal liability under the Priority Statute if he makes the interim distribution. In over sixteen months, the United

States has not made a decision on the Liquidator's request for a waiver. Notwithstanding the prudent determination of the interim distribution percentage, the substantial assets available for subsequent distribution, and the amounts it will receive from the interim distribution with respect to the Sauget, Lavin, LTV and CDE settlements, the United States has not to date been willing to grant a waiver of claims under the Priority Statute to permit the interim distribution to be made.

### **Injury to the Liquidator and the Policyholders of Home**

42. Nine years after Home was placed in liquidation, the Liquidator sought and received approval from the Supervising Court to make the 15% interim distribution subject to receipt of a federal waiver. More than sixteen months have passed without a decision since the Liquidator requested the waiver from the United States.

43. If the Liquidator makes the interim distribution of assets without a waiver, and the position of the United States later prevails, the Liquidator faces potential personal liability under the Priority Statute. Thus, the position of the United States prevents the Liquidator from performing his duties under state law to make interim distributions to creditors of Home.

44. The inability of the Liquidator to distribute assets causes continuing harm to the policyholders and other policy level creditors of the Home estate. Ten years after Home was placed in liquidation, Class II creditors (other than the guaranty associations) have not received any distributions from the Home estate.

45. In these circumstances, there exists an actual controversy over the question whether the Liquidator is subject to personal liability for making the interim distribution. The Liquidator accordingly requests the Court to enter the declarations set forth below.

**Count I**

46. The Liquidator realleges paragraphs 1 through 45 above.

47. The known Class II claims of the United States in the Home liquidation described in paragraphs 27 through 31 and the matters described in paragraphs 34 through 36 above have been addressed by the Liquidator. To the extent its claims have been allowed, the United States will receive the same percentage distributions from the Home estate as all other allowed Class II claims.

48. Although the Liquidator disputes the priority of the claim of the Department of Labor described in paragraph 26 above, he has set aside sufficient assets to pay the full amount of the claim. That claim is the subject of a pending dispute over priority under state law in the Supervising Court, and the United States will receive the distribution percentage applicable to the priority class in which the claim is ultimately determined to fall.

49. For a representative of an estate to be personally liable under the Priority Statute, the representative must pay amounts on other claims such that the estate cannot pay amounts on valid claims of the United States that the United States is entitled to receive under federal law. The United States will receive the amounts to which it is entitled under federal law with respect to the known claims and matters described in paragraphs 26 through 31 and 34 through 36 above. In these circumstances, the known claims and matters will not trigger potential personal liability under 31 U.S.C. § 3713 for making the interim distribution.

50. For a representative of an estate to be personally liable under the Priority Statute, the United States must have a “claim” against the insolvent estate at the time the representative distributes assets. The unknown claims asserted by the United States in the protective proof of claim described in paragraph 32 are not “claims” within 31 U.S.C. § 3713 so as to trigger potential personal liability under that statute for making the interim distribution.

51. For a representative of an estate to be personally liable under the Priority Statute, the representative must be on notice of a claim of the United States at the time the representative distributes assets. The assertion of unknown claims in the protective proof of claim described in paragraph 32 does not place the Liquidator on notice of claims so as to trigger potential personal liability under 31 U.S.C. § 3713 for making the interim distribution.

52. The Liquidator has taken unknown claims, including unknown claims of the United States, into account in the 15% interim distribution because such claims are provided for in the actuarial estimate of unpaid Class II liabilities used to determine the interim distribution percentage. Consistent with the requirement of New Hampshire law that interim distributions protect those with undetermined claims, the interim distribution approved by the Supervisory Court reserves sufficient assets for an equivalent 15% distribution to presently unknown claims even if the ultimate value of all Class II claims exceeds the actuarial Central Estimate of \$4.112 billion and reaches the 95% confidence level value of \$6.584 billion.

53. The Liquidator may make the 15% interim distribution to claimants with allowed Class II claims without incurring personal liability to the United States.

## **Count II**

54. The Liquidator realleges paragraphs 1 through 53 above.

55. Title 5 U.S.C. § 706(1) authorizes the Court to “compel agency action . . . unreasonably delayed[.]”

56. The United States has unreasonably delayed action on the Liquidator’s request for waiver regarding the proposed 15% interim distribution to claimants with allowed Class II claims.

WHEREFORE, the Liquidator requests that the Court enter a final judgment:

1. Declaring that the unknown claims asserted by the United States are not claims within 31 U.S.C. § 3713 that can form the basis for imposing personal liability under that statute on the Liquidator for making the interim distribution;
2. Declaring that the assertion of unknown claims by the United States does not place the Liquidator on notice of claims that can form the basis for imposing personal liability under 31 U.S.C. § 3713 on the Liquidator for making the interim distribution;
3. Declaring that the Liquidator may make the 15% interim distribution to claimants with allowed Class II claims without incurring personal liability to the United States;
4. Ordering the United States to act on the Liquidator's request for a waiver regarding the 15% interim distribution; and
5. Granting such other relief as is proper, including appropriate costs and fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER A. SEVIGNY, INSURANCE  
COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF  
NEW HAMPSHIRE, AS LIQUIDATOR  
OF THE HOME INSURANCE  
COMPANY,

By his attorney,

JOSEPH A. FOSTER,  
NEW HAMPSHIRE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

J. Christopher Marshall  
NH Bar ID No. 1619  
Civil Bureau  
New Hampshire Department of Justice  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301-6397  
(603) 271-3650

*/s/ J. David Leslie*

*/s/ Eric A. Smith* \_\_\_\_\_

J. David Leslie  
NH Bar ID No. 16859  
Eric A. Smith  
NH Bar ID No. 16952  
Rackemann, Sawyer & Brewster P.C.  
160 Federal Street  
Boston, MA 02110  
(617) 542-2300

September 9, 2013

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**

**MERRIMACK, SS.**

**SUPERIOR COURT**

**Docket No. 03-E-0106**

**In the Matter of the Liquidation of  
The Home Insurance Company**

**LIQUIDATOR'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF INTERIM  
DISTRIBUTION TO CLAIMANTS WITH ALLOWED CLASS II CLAIMS**

Roger A. Sevigny, Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, as Liquidator ("Liquidator") of The Home Insurance Company ("Home"), hereby moves for approval of an interim distribution to claimants with allowed Class II claims. As reasons therefor, the Liquidator states:

**Introduction**

1. The Liquidator's principal goals in this liquidation have been to determine claims and collect assets for the ultimate purpose of distributing assets to the creditors of Home. While there are substantially more claims to determine and assets to collect, the Liquidator believes that it is presently reasonable to make an interim distribution of fifteen (15) percent on Class II claims that have been allowed by the Court. Such a distribution would permit creditors with allowed policy related priority claims to receive a percentage of their claims while reasonably reserving assets to provide for future, equivalent distributions to claimants whose claims have not yet been addressed. The Liquidator accordingly moves for approval of the proposed interim distribution pursuant to RSA 402-C:46, I, subject, however, to receipt of a waiver of priority claims from the United States. Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator, in Support of Motion for Approval of Interim Distribution to Claimants with Allowed Class II Claims ("Bengelsdorf Aff.") ¶ 2.

### **Background Regarding Home and the Liquidation**

2. Home is a New Hampshire domiciled insurance company incorporated in 1973, although its predecessor corporations were established as long ago as 1853. Home and its subsidiaries (most of which were merged into Home in 1995) wrote insurance and reinsurance in all states and some territories of the United States, as well as in Canada, the United Kingdom, Bermuda and Hong Kong. Home and its subsidiaries generally stopped writing personal lines business in the early 1990's, and they stopped writing all business, including commercial lines (subject to certain personal lines mandatory renewal requirements), in 1995. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 3.

3. By Order of Liquidation entered June 13, 2003, the Court declared Home insolvent and appointed the Insurance Commissioner as Liquidator to liquidate the company pursuant to the Insurers Rehabilitation and Liquidation Act, RSA 402-C ("Act"). Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 4.

4. The Liquidator is charged with (a) marshaling and liquidating the assets of Home; (b) investigating and evaluating claims to determine the liabilities of Home and make recommendations for allowance to the Court; and (c) with Court approval, distributing assets to the policyholders, insureds, third party claimants and other creditors of the Home estate (collectively, "claimants"), all in accordance with the provisions of the Act. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 5.

5. As described in the Liquidator's reports, the Liquidator has been investigating, negotiating and determining claims and filing reports of claims and recommendations with the Court. As of December 31, 2011, the Liquidator has presented and the Court has approved claim recommendations, including settlements, for a total of 12,679 Class II claims with a total allowed

amount of approximately \$1.294 billion. (The total Court-approved claim determinations for all Classes is 14,491 claims – 12,537 final and 1,954 partial – with a total allowed amount of approximately \$1.52 billion.) Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 6.

6. The Liquidator has also been collecting assets, in particular reinsurance. As a result of these efforts, the Liquidator has approximately \$1.115 billion in unrestricted liquid assets under his control as of December 31, 2011. With Court approval, the Liquidator has also made seven Class II early access distributions to insurance guaranty funds totaling \$215 million as of December 31, 2011.<sup>1</sup> As described in the motions for approval of the early access distributions, the distributions are subject to “claw back” agreements required by RSA 402-C:29, III, under which the guaranty associations will return early access distributions if necessary to pay claims of claimants with claims in the same or a higher priority class. Certain states withdrew deposits that with interest now total approximately \$52 million which the Liquidator is setting off against claims of guaranty associations in those states. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 7.

7. The Liquidator believes that sufficient assets have been collected and sufficient claims determined to warrant consideration of an initial interim distribution. Because any distribution must reserve assets for presently unresolved claims, the Liquidator has engaged the international actuarial consulting firm Milliman, Inc. (“Milliman”) to estimate Home’s unpaid direct liabilities (liabilities with respect to policies of insurance issued by Home). Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.

---

<sup>1</sup> This total is the amount distributed by the Liquidator after application of the deductions and cap provided for in the orders approving the early access distributions. The total does not include the recently approved eighth early access distribution, which involves payment of approximately \$15 million, as the motion for approval of that distribution was pending before the Court as of December 31, 2011.

### **The Statutory Framework for Distributions**

8. The Act provides that:

Under the direction of the court, the liquidator shall pay dividends in a manner that will assure the proper recognition of priorities and a reasonable balance between the expeditious completion of the liquidation and the protection of unliquidated and undetermined claims, including third party claims.

RSA 402-C:46, I. Any distribution thus must satisfy two basic conditions. First, the distribution must assure “the proper recognition of priorities.” Second, it must assure a “reasonable balance” between paying money to known creditors (the “expeditious completion of the liquidation”) and protecting the interests of claimants whose claims have not been resolved (the “unliquidated and undetermined claims”).

9. To assure “proper recognition of priorities,” a distribution must comply with the priority provision of the Act, RSA 402-C:44. That statute provides in pertinent part that:

Subject to the \$50 deductible provision, every claim in each class shall be paid in full or adequate funds retained for the payment before the members of the next class receive any payment. No subclasses shall be established within any class.

RSA 402-C:44.<sup>2</sup> Any distribution must thus assure that (1) all claims in each successive class will be paid in full (or adequate funds retained) before any payment is made to the next succeeding class, and (2) all claims within a class will be treated equally.

10. To assure a “reasonable balance” between completion of the liquidation and protection of undetermined claims, any distribution must both pay funds to those with allowed claims and protect those with claims that have not yet progressed through the claim determination and allowance process of RSA 402-C:41 and 45. That “protection” can only be achieved by reserving funds for unresolved claims so that they may be treated equally with others in the same priority class once they are allowed.

---

<sup>2</sup> The \$50 deductible does not apply to claims of guaranty associations. RSA 402-C:44.

### **The Proposed Interim Distribution**

11. The Liquidator seeks approval to make an interim distribution of 15% on allowed and subsequently allowed Class II claims based on the presently available assets, the projected Class I expenses of liquidation, and the unpaid Class II liabilities as estimated by Milliman. Each of these elements is addressed below. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 9.

12. Available Assets. The Liquidator believes it is reasonable and prudent to base an interim distribution on the assets that are presently available. As of December 31, 2011, those assets consist of \$1.115 billion of unrestricted liquid assets held by the Liquidator, the \$215 million in early access distributions previously paid to guaranty associations by the Liquidator subject to statutory claw back, and the \$52 million in deposits withdrawn by states. The total of \$1.382 billion is available to the Liquidator for potential distribution to claimants or to be applied by the Liquidator against the claims of claimants. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 10.

13. While the Liquidator will collect reinsurance in the future, the Liquidator believes it is not reasonable or prudent to base a present distribution on potential collections because of the significant uncertainties over future recoveries. Those uncertainties include, but are not limited to: (a) the timing of any collection, which depends on the timing of the determination of the underlying loss and the billing and payment of reinsurance or on the willingness of reinsurers to agree to a voluntary commutation of reinsurance; (b) the present value discount involved in any commutation; (c) the offsets available to reinsurers; (d) potential defenses to reinsurance coverage for particular claims or types of claims; (e) potential changes in the law; and (f) the possibility that reinsurers may themselves become insolvent or subject to restrictions on payments. The Liquidator will consider the potential for further interim distributions in the future, and assets subsequently collected will be considered at that time. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 11.

14. The Liquidator similarly believes it would not be reasonable or prudent to base a present distribution on future investment returns. Future income on investments is subject to significant uncertainties, including, but not limited to, continuance of the present low interest rate environment for investment grade securities, ongoing indicators of recession, inflationary pressures, large new issuances of government debt, the European sovereign debt crisis, and the amount and timing of distributions and liquidation expenses. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 12.

15. Liquidation Expenses. Any potential distribution must reflect a reserve for the Liquidator's projected Class I administration costs and the Class I claims of guaranty associations. The priority statute requires that adequate funds be retained to pay all Class I costs before any distribution may be made to succeeding priority classes. RSA 402-C:44; see In the Matter of the Liquidation of The Home Ins. Co., 154 N.H. 472, 482 (2006). The Liquidator's expenses are designated as Class I administration costs in RSA 402-C:44, I, while the guaranty associations' claim overhead expenses are accorded the same priority by RSA 404-B:11, II. The Liquidator conservatively estimates that the Class I costs, including both the expenses of the Home liquidation and the guaranty associations' Class I claim overhead expenses, will total approximately \$324 million over the remaining life of the Home estate. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 13.

16. Unpaid Class II Liabilities of Home. In order to assure equal treatment for all Class II claimants, including those with unresolved claims, any potential distribution must provide for all Class II obligations of Home even though they have not yet been determined. See RSA 402-C:46, I. The evaluation of Home's potential Class II liabilities is a complex and challenging task requiring significant expertise, and the Liquidator accordingly engaged the internationally-known Milliman actuarial consulting firm to estimate the unpaid direct

obligations of Home, that is, the total unpaid obligations of Home with respect to its insurance policies. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 14.

17. Milliman has now provided the Liquidator with its February 1, 2012 Analysis of Unpaid Loss and ALAE as of June 13, 2003 and December 31, 2010 (the “Milliman Report”). The Milliman Report estimates Home’s unpaid loss and allocated loss adjustment expense (“ALAE”) and maps those projected liabilities to the applicable priority classes. A copy of the Executive Summary (“Executive Summary”) of the Milliman Report is attached as Exhibit A to the Bengelsdorf Affidavit.<sup>3</sup> Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 15.

18. As set forth in the Executive Summary, Milliman has provided the Liquidator with its “actuarial Central Estimate” of Home’s unpaid Class II liabilities. The actuarial Central Estimate is an estimate of the expected value over a range of reasonably possible outcomes and is most properly viewed as the average of a wide range of possible outcomes. See Executive Summary at 5 and 8. Milliman’s actuarial Central Estimate of Class II unpaid loss and ALAE is \$4.112 billion. See Executive Summary, Table 2, Page 2. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 16.

19. In addition to the actuarial Central Estimate, Milliman has provided a confidence level table that provides estimates of the unpaid Class II loss and ALAE at higher confidence levels. Executive Summary, Table 1. This reflects the possibility that Home’s Class II liabilities may exceed the actuarial Central Estimate, which is a point in a range of reasonably possible outcomes. The estimate at each higher confidence level is intended to encompass approximately that percentage of the possible outcomes, although there is a range of remaining possible outcomes above each estimate. The results at the higher confidence levels broadly illustrate the

---

<sup>3</sup> “ALAE” as used in the Milliman Report includes both expenses to defend an insured pursuant to defense obligations in a Home insurance policy, which are Class II, and expenses to evaluate and defend against claims for coverage by a policyholder or insured, which are Class I. The estimated unpaid Class I ALAE (see Executive Summary, Table 2, Page 1) is included in the estimated liquidation expenses discussed in paragraph 15 above.

potential variability of outcomes, but are not precise, and the range of potential variability is greater above the Central Estimate than below it. See Executive Summary at 6 and 8.

Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 17.

20. As noted above, the priority statute requires that all claimants in a priority class receive equal treatment, RSA 402-C:44, while the distribution statute requires that any distribution protect the interests of claimants with unresolved claims. RSA 402-C:46, I. To comply with these requirements, the Liquidator has determined to use the Milliman estimate of Home's Class II liabilities at the 95% confidence level for purposes of the proposed interim distribution. That confidence level encompasses a reasonable and prudent percentage of potential outcomes, although there is still the possibility of an outcome that exceeds it, perhaps significantly. At the 95% confidence level, Milliman estimates Home's unpaid Class II liabilities to be \$6.584 billion. Executive Summary, Table 1. Because of the application of a 95% confidence level, this estimate is higher than Milliman's actuarial Central Estimate noted in paragraph 18 above. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 18.

21. Allowed Class II Claims. As of December 31, 2011, the Court had allowed Class II claims, including settlements, totaling approximately \$1.294 billion. Of that total, approximately \$276 million are claims of the guaranty associations and \$1.018 billion are claims of policyholders, insureds, and third party claimants. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 19.

22. The Distribution Percentage. Based on the foregoing, and after careful review and consideration of the circumstances, the Liquidator seeks approval to make an interim distribution of 15%. The available assets (\$1.382 billion) less the projected Class I expenses (\$324 million) all divided by the estimated Class II liabilities at the 95% confidence level (\$6.584 billion) produces an initial distribution percentage of 16.07%, which the Liquidator has

rounded to 15%. The determination of the interim distribution percentage is set forth on Exhibit 1 to this motion. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 20.

23. The Liquidator believes the proposed interim distribution percentage is consistent with the mandate of RSA 402-C:46, I, to protect claimants with undetermined claims. As discussed above, the Liquidator is using a 95% confidence level to address the risk that the ultimate Class II liabilities may exceed current estimates. There is also the possibility, with respect to a Home policy with aggregate limits, that the individual claims allowed respecting that policy could over time exceed those limits. In such a case, claim allowances related to that policy would then need to be reduced, as required by RSA 402-C:40, IV, on a pro rata basis to adjust the total of such allowances to the aggregate policy limits. This presents a potential risk, for such policies, that the allowed amounts on which a distribution is based might later be reduced. This further supports taking a conservative approach. However, the Liquidator is tracking claims against policies, and there are a relatively small number of policies that the Liquidator presently believes might be affected. Further, the allowances involving policies with aggregate limits to date are almost all settlement agreements with policyholders that include indemnities against third party claims. At the proposed interim distribution percentage, these agreements present little credit risk (as to the indemnities) because the Liquidator may set off against future distribution amounts to such a policyholder any unsatisfied indemnity obligation. The Liquidator will further address this aggregate limits issue, if warranted, in any future application to increase the interim distribution percentage. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 21.

24. The 15% interim distribution percentage results in a distribution of approximately \$194.1 million. However, an actual cash distribution will only be made to the holders of the \$1.018 billion of allowed non-guaranty association Class II claims, who will receive

approximately \$152.7 million.<sup>4</sup> The guaranty associations have already received early access distributions at a percentage in excess of the 15% interim distribution, so they will not receive any additional distribution. Instead, the interim distribution amount as to such guaranty associations will no longer be deemed an early access distribution subject to claw back. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 22.

25. Since the interim distribution percentage reflects the Milliman estimate of all Class II liabilities, the Liquidator also seeks approval to make a 15% interim distribution on Class II claims that are allowed after December 31, 2011. The Liquidator will make these interim distributions on later allowed claims after the end of each year with respect to claims allowed during that year. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 23.

26. In accordance with RSA 402-C:44, the first \$50 of the allowed amount on each claim will be deducted from the claim (except for guaranty association claims), and the distribution will be calculated by applying the interim distribution percentage to the remaining amount. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 24.

27. The Liquidator proposes that checks not be issued for a *de minimis* amount of less than \$10 per claimant. Claimants who have received more than one claim allowance will receive only one check for the multiple allowances and thus will not be affected by this *de minimis* threshold, if the distribution on the multiple allowances equals or exceeds \$10. A check will be issued to the claimant if its distribution amount is increased beyond the *de minimis* threshold in the future because it receives additional allowances or because the distribution percentage is increased. In any event, this *de minimis* distribution threshold will not apply to the final distribution. All claimants will receive the ultimate distribution percentage, even if the distribution amount is less than \$10.00. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 25.

---

<sup>4</sup> The holders of the claims are the claimants or, where applicable, their assignees.

### United States Waiver

28. The interim distribution will be subject to receipt of a waiver of federal priority claims from the United States. The United States Department of Justice (“DOJ”) has asserted in other insurer liquidations that the claim filing deadline does not apply to claims by the Federal Government in light of the federal priority act, 31 U.S.C. § 3713, so that it can at any time file claims entitled to payment by the Receiver on pain of potential personal liability. See 31 U.S.C. § 3713(b); Ruthardt v. United States, 303 F.3d 375, 384-386 (1st Cir. 2002), cert. denied, 538 U.S. 1031 (2003).<sup>5</sup> Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 26.

29. In light of this potential exposure of the Liquidator to the United States for making distributions that reduce the claim-paying ability of the estate, the proposed interim distribution will be subject to receipt of a waiver of claims by the United States in a form acceptable to the Liquidator. While the Liquidator obtained limited waivers of alleged federal priority claims from DOJ as a precondition to the first six early access distributions, the Liquidator sought approval to make the seventh and eighth early access distributions without such a waiver in light of the statutory claw back agreements with guaranty associations discussed above. The interim distribution proposed here, however, will not be subject to such a claw back agreement. Further, even if there were a basis for attempting to retrieve distributed amounts from private claimants, such an effort would be impractical. The interim distribution will be paid to hundreds of private claimants. In the circumstances, the Liquidator believes it would not be reasonable and prudent to make an interim distribution without a waiver of federal priority claims. The Liquidator will seek such a waiver from DOJ promptly after approval of the interim distribution by the Court but does not know when the DOJ will respond. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 27.

---

<sup>5</sup> The Liquidator and the United States are litigating priority issues concerning the Longshore and Harbor Workers Compensation Act. Hilda Solis, U.S. Dept. of Labor v. The Home Ins. Co., et al., No. 1:10-cv-572 (D. N.H.).

WHEREFORE, the Liquidator requests that the Court:

A. Grant this Motion for Approval of Interim Distribution to Claimants with Allowed Class II Claims;

B. Enter an order in the form submitted herewith approving the interim distribution of 15% to creditors with allowed Class II claims and subsequently allowed Class II claims, after application of the \$50 deductible, and subject to any setoffs Home may have against a particular creditor, to the receipt of a waiver of United States priority claims in a form acceptable to the Liquidator, and to a *de minimis* threshold of \$10; and

C. Grant such other and further relief as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER A. SEVIGNY, INSURANCE  
COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF  
NEW HAMPSHIRE, SOLELY AS  
LIQUIDATOR OF THE HOME  
INSURANCE COMPANY,

By his attorneys,  
MICHAEL A. DELANEY,  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

J. Christopher Marshall (No. 1619)  
Civil Bureau  
New Hampshire Department of Justice  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301-6397  
(603) 271-3650



---

J. David Leslie (No. 16859)  
Eric A. Smith (No. 16952)  
Rackemann, Sawyer & Brewster P.C.  
160 Federal Street  
Boston, MA 02110  
(617) 542-2300

February 10, 2012

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Approval of Interim Distribution to Claimants with Allowed Class II Claims, the accompanying Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator, and the Proposed Order Approving Interim Distribution was sent, this 10th day of February, 2012, by first class mail, postage prepaid to all persons on the attached service list.



---

Eric A. Smith  
NH Bar ID No. 16952

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

In the Matter of the Liquidation of  
The Home Insurance Company  
Docket No. 03-E-0106

SERVICE LIST

Lisa Snow Wade, Esq.  
Orr & Reno  
One Eagle Square  
P.O. Box 3550  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-3550

Gary S. Lee, Esq.  
James J. DeCristofaro, Esq.  
Kathleen E. Schaaf, Esq.  
Morrison & Foerster  
1290 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10104-0050

Pieter Van Tol, Esq.  
Hogan Lovells US LLP  
875 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

Peter G. Callaghan, Esq.  
Preti, Flaherty, Beliveau, Pachos  
& Haley, PLLP  
57 North Main Street  
P.O. Box 1318  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1318

George T. Campbell, III, Esq.  
Robert A. Stein, Esq.  
Robert A. Stein & Associates, PLLC  
One Barberry Lane  
P.O. Box 2159  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-2159

David M. Spector, Esq.  
Dennis G. LaGory, Esq.  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
6600 Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Michael Cohen, Esq.  
Cohen & Buckley, LLP  
1301 York Road  
Baltimore, Maryland 21093

David H. Simmons, Esq.  
Mary Ann Etzler, Esq.  
Daniel J. O'Malley, Esq.  
deBeaubien, Knight, Simmons,  
Mantzaris & Neal, LLP  
332 North Magnolia Avenue  
P.O. Box 87  
Orlando, Florida 32801

Martin P. Honigberg, Esq.  
Sulloway & Hollis, P.L.L.C.  
9 Capitol Street  
P.O. Box 1256  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1256

Richard Mancino, Esq.  
Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP  
787 Seventh Avenue  
New York, New York 10019

Joseph G. Davis, Esq.  
Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP  
1875 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

Albert P. Bedecarre, Esq.  
Quinn Emanuel Urguhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP  
50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111

Jeffrey W. Moss, Esq.  
Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP  
225 Franklin Street  
16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Gerald J. Petros, Esq.  
Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP  
50 Kennedy Plaza, Suite 1500  
Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Christopher H.M. Carter, Esq.  
Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP  
11 South Main Street, Suite 400  
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Robert M. Horkoviceh  
Robert Y. Chung  
Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C.  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10020

Andrew B. Livernois  
Ransmeier & Spellman, P.C.  
One Capitol Street  
P.O. Box 600  
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-0600

John A. Hubbard  
615 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue South  
Great Falls, Montana 59405

Adebowale O. Osijo  
2015 East Pontiac Way, Suite 209  
Fresno, California 93726

Jim Darnell, Esq.  
Jim Darnell, P.C.  
310 N. Mesa Street, Suite 212  
El Paso, Texas 79901

Edmond J. Ford, Esq.  
Ford & Weaver, P.A.  
10 Pleasant Street, Suite 400  
Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Paul W. Kalish, Esq.  
Ellen M. Farrell, Esq.  
Crowell & Moring  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004-2595

Harry L. Bowles  
306 Big Hollow Lane  
Houston, Texas 77042

Michael S. Olsan, Esq.  
Christine G. Russell, Esq.  
Brendan D. McQuiggan, Esq.  
White and Williams, LLP  
One Liberty Place, Suite 1800  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7395

Kyle A. Forsyth, Esq.  
Commercial Litigation Branch  
Civil Division  
United States Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 875  
Washington, D.C. 20044-0875

Exhibit 1Interim Distribution

(\$ in millions)

**A Available Assets (12/31/11)**

Unrestricted liquid assets	\$1,115
Assets withdrawn by states	52
Early access to GAs	<u>215</u>
Total:	<u>\$1,382</u>

**B Class I Unpaid and Estimated Future Administration Costs**

Estimated Liquidator administration costs	\$272
Estimated GA Class I claim overhead costs	<u>52</u>
Total:	<u>\$324</u>

**C Class II Unpaid Policy Related Claims**

Milliman estimate at 95% confidence level:	<u>\$6,584</u>
---	----------------

**D. Interim Distribution Percentage**

(Assets (A) – Class I Expenses (B)) ÷ Class II Policy Related Claims (C) = Distribution %

(1,382 – 324) ÷ 6,584 = 16.07%, round to 15%

**E. Allowed Class II Claims**

At 12/31/11 \$1,294 (including \$276 Guaranty Associations claims)

**F. Distribution Amounts and Remaining Assets after Initial Distribution**

Amount of Initial Interim Distribution:	$\$1,294 \times 15\% = \$194.1$
Less GA Portion (already in early access)	$276 \times 15\% = \underline{41.4}$
Amount Paid Out	<u>\$152.7</u>
Unrestricted Liquid Assets	\$1,115
Less Interim Distribution	<u>152.7</u>
Remaining Assets after Initial Distribution	<u>\$962.3</u>
(additional distribution will follow as additional claims are allowed)	

**RACKEMANN**  
**SAWYER & BREWSTER**  
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
COUNSELLORS AT LAW

Established 1886

J. David Leslie  
617-951-1131  
dleslie@rackemann.com

April 12, 2012

**BY E-MAIL**

Ms. Sharon Williams  
Commercial Litigation Branch  
Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Room 10016  
1100 L Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005

**Re: In the Matter of the Liquidation of The Home Insurance Company  
No. 03-E-0106 (Superior Court for Merrimack County, New Hampshire)**

Dear Ms. Williams:

I write on behalf of Roger A. Sevigny, Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire ("Commissioner"), as Liquidator (the "Liquidator") of The Home Insurance Company ("Home"). Home is a New Hampshire insurance company that is insolvent and in liquidation. The Liquidator has been determining claims and collecting assets and believes that sufficient claims have been determined and assets collected to warrant a 15% interim distribution on allowed Class II claims. The Liquidator has sought and obtained approval from the supervising court for the proposed interim distribution, subject to a waiver of federal priority claims respecting the 15% distribution. The Liquidator accordingly requests that the United States provide a waiver of claims in light of the federal priority statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3713. An affidavit of the Liquidator, a proposed release agreement and certain other items are attached.

1. Background. Home is an insurance company incorporated under the laws of the State of New Hampshire licensed and regulated by the New Hampshire Insurance Department. Home was incorporated in 1973, although its predecessor corporations were established as long ago as 1853. Historically, its principal offices were at 59 Maiden Lane, New York, New York, with a statutory office at 286 Commercial Street, Manchester, New Hampshire. (Home's operations are presently conducted at 61 Broadway, New York, New York.) As described in my letter dated January 27, 2012, Home's indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary US International Reinsurance Company is also in liquidation.

Home and its subsidiaries (most of which merged into Home in 1995) wrote insurance and reinsurance in all states and some territories of the United States, as well as in Canada, the United Kingdom, Bermuda and Hong Kong. Home and its subsidiaries generally stopped writing personal lines of business in the early 1990's, and they stopped writing all business, including commercial lines (subject to certain personal lines mandatory renewal requirements), in 1995.

Home was placed in rehabilitation by order of the Merrimack County Superior Court ("Court") on March 5, 2003. The Commissioner as Rehabilitator moved to place the company in liquidation, and on June 13, 2003, the Court issued an Order of Liquidation declaring Home insolvent, appointing the Commissioner as Liquidator, and directing that the company be liquidated.<sup>1</sup> Notice of the Home liquidation, including the June 13, 2004 claim filing deadline, was given to persons known or reasonably expected to have a claim against Home in accordance with an order approving notice. As of the liquidation date, state insurance guaranty associations and funds began handling and, where appropriate, paying claims under policies of insurance issued by Home in accordance with their governing statutes.<sup>2</sup> Claims presented by proofs of claim are handled by liquidation staff, and the Liquidator makes recommendations to the Court with respect to allowance of the claims.

Since June 2003, the Liquidator has determined proofs of claim, made claim recommendations to the Court, and collected available assets, including its reinsurance. As of December 31, 2011, the assets of Home under the Liquidator's control totaled approximately \$1.115 billion. As of that date, the Liquidator had presented and the Court approved claim recommendations, including settlements, for a total of 12,679 Class II claims with a total allowed amount of approximately \$1.294 billion. Distributions on allowed claims will be made in accordance with orders of the Court. The Liquidator does not expect to make any distribution on claims below Class II.

2. The proposed 15% interim distribution. The Liquidator believes that sufficient claims have been determined and assets collected to warrant a 15% interim distribution on allowed Class II claims, and earlier this year he moved for approval of such an interim distribution. The Court approved the interim distribution on March 13, 2012, subject to a waiver of federal priority claims. That order is attached to the Liquidator's Affidavit.

---

<sup>1</sup> An initial order of liquidation dated June 11, 2003 was vacated when the June 13, 2003 order issued.

<sup>2</sup> During the first few weeks of the liquidation in 2003, the Liquidator paid workers compensation benefits to claimants as advances on early access distributions to avoid disruption in payments while the claim files were transferred to the insurance guaranty associations.

The proposed interim distribution will serve the purpose of liquidation by paying at least a small percentage on the allowed Class II claims. (Because it provides for a 15% distribution on Class II claims allowed after 2011, it will also assist in the ongoing determination of claims by giving claimants an incentive to seek resolution.) The basis for the proposed interim distribution, including estimates of Home's total Class II liabilities, is set forth in the Liquidator's motion and the supporting affidavit, both of which are attached. As summarized on the exhibit to the Liquidator's motion, the interim distribution payments will total approximately \$194.1 million, of which \$41.4 million has already been paid to guaranty associations as early access distributions. As to the guaranty associations, the interim distribution will remove the "clawback" applicable to early access distributions from the 15%. The initial interim distribution will thus reduce assets under the Liquidator's control by \$152.7 million. Based on assets as of December 31, 2011, this will leave \$962.3 million. (Additional 15% distributions will be made on Class II claims allowed after 2011.)

Excluding guaranty associations, there are 167 claimants with 208 allowed Class II claims as of December 31, 2011 who are to receive the proposed interim distribution, subject to the statutory \$50 deductible and any setoffs. Those claimants are listed on an attachment to the Liquidator's affidavit. (Some might assign their claims in the future.) The other non-guaranty association Class II claims that have been addressed have been denied (by allowances of "0").

3. United States claims. As set forth in the affidavit, the Liquidator is only aware of six United States claims against Home, plus the "protective" proof of claim that does not identify any particular claims. Aside from the Department of Labor matter that is the subject of litigation, the proofs of claim filed by agencies of the United States that identify claims all concern environmental clean-up claims. The status of those matters is summarized in the Liquidator's affidavit. As part of the proposed interim distribution, the United States stands to receive a 15% distribution on the allowed amounts on the proofs of claim concerning R. Lavin & Sons (\$2,346,774 allowed) and Paul Sauget (\$4,125,000 allowed). (The latter distribution will be made to the executrix designated in the settlement agreement.) The other known environmental claims do not appear to involve significant amounts as respects Home.

As to taxes, Home has filed federal income tax returns through 2010 on a consolidated basis with its subsidiaries, and there are no reported tax liabilities. Home's Employer Identification Number is [REDACTED]. A copy of Home's 2010 Form 1120-PC is attached.

Home had no employees from 1996 until June 2003. During that period, Home was operated by employees of Risk Enterprise Management Limited. In 2003, the Liquidator created a stand-alone liquidation operation staffed with newly hired employees of Home. With respect to the pre-1996 employees, Home had two successive defined benefit plans. The more recent was addressed in an Agreement effective May 20, 1998 among the Pension Benefit Guaranty

Corporation (“PBGC”), Zurich Insurance Company (“Zurich”), Home, and Home Holdings, Inc., under which Zurich assumed The Home Insurance Company Retirement Plan (“Plan”) and its related trust and became the sole sponsor of the Plan for purposes of ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code. Appendix A of the Agreement provided for delegation by Zurich to Home of the administration of the Plan, but that delegation was terminated due to Home’s insolvency by an amendment to the Agreement effective October 1, 2003. The previous The Home Insurance Company Retirement Plan was terminated effective December 28, 1984. Home entered a group annuity contract with Prudential in connection with the termination. The contract provided that specified plan participants would receive annuities in specified amounts. The Liquidator accordingly does not anticipate any federal claims with respect to the retirement plans.

As to Medicare, all payments to claimants under Home policies since the Home liquidation began have been made by insurance guaranty associations. The Liquidator is informed that the guaranty associations generally have registered with Medicare as Responsible Reporting Entities (“RRE’s”) and are reporting to Medicare with respect to payments they make under Home policies. The Liquidator has registered Home as an RRE and is in the process of preparing Home to report with respect to payments made as part of the proposed interim distribution. Receipt of the requested waiver will satisfy the condition subsequent in the order so as to finally authorize the interim distribution, and the reporting will be made within 45 days of that date, or as otherwise prescribed.

The Liquidator is not aware of any federal claims other than the six specified in the proofs of claim.


4. Request. In order to make some payment to claimants with allowed Class II claims during the necessarily lengthy liquidation process, the Liquidator sought and obtained approval to make the 15% interim distribution as provided in the March 13, 2012 order, subject to receipt of a waiver of federal priority claims. As described in the Liquidator’s motion, the proposed 15% interim distribution is a conservative percentage, as it reflects estimated liabilities at the 95% confidence level and conservative projections of expenses and does not consider future reinsurance collections or investment income. Even after the initial distribution, the Liquidator will continue to control over \$950 million in assets. The known claims of the United States appear to be quite small, especially when considered against the assets that will remain in the estate. Indeed, the United States will benefit from the interim distribution by receiving distributions on its allowed Class II claims. The Liquidator accordingly requests that the United States agree to waive federal priority claims with respect to the 15% interim distribution as set forth in the attached form of agreement.

**RACKEMANN**  
**SAWYER & BREWSTER**  
COUNSELLORS AT LAW

Ms. Sharon Williams  
April 12, 2012  
Page 5

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions regarding Home. We look forward to your response to the Liquidator's request for a waiver to permit the 15% interim distribution on allowed Class II claims.

Very truly yours,



J. David Leslie

Enclosures